First order phase transitions within holographic approach in application to baryon asymmetry problem

by Andrey Shavrin

under the supervision of Prof. Oleg Novikov Saint-Petersburg State University, Th. Phys. Dep.

International Conference on Particle Physics and Cosmology dedicated to memory of Valery Rubakov A. I. Alikhanyan national science laboratory, Yerevan, Armenia

Oct. 2 – 7, 2023

Electroweak baryogenesis (Motivation)

Baryon asymmetry cannot be explained within SM - "baryon asymmetry problem"

It implies Sakharov conditions:

- Baryon number violation satisfied within non-perturbative SM (with sphalerons)
- C, CP violation (particles – anti-particles) appears in SM, but effect is too small

CPT violation

(thermodynamic equilibrium breaking) prevent the got asymmetry from 'washing'

termodynamical first order equilibrium breaking \Leftarrow phase transition



Electroweak Baryogenesis within SM

 $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{Y}} \to \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}}$

 $m_{\rm Higgs} > 70 \, {\rm GeV} \, \Rightarrow {
m NO \ first \ order \ phase \ transition}$

Motivation and model

Composite Higgs model

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \mathcal{L}_{CH} + \mathcal{L}_{Int.}, & \mathcal{L}_{CH} \text{ - strongly coupled with } \mathcal{G} \text{ inner symmetry} \\ \left(\mathcal{G} \text{ invariant} \\ \text{vacuum} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{spontaneous}} \left(\mathcal{H} \text{ invariane} \\ \text{vacuum} \right) \Rightarrow \xrightarrow{\text{Goldstone bosons } \ni \text{ Higgs boson} \\ \text{phase transition} \end{array}$



Minimal Composite Higgs model

minimal model $\mathcal{G} = SO(5) \times U(1)_Y$, $\mathcal{H} = SO(4) \times U(1)_Y \supset SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

minimal \Leftarrow the coset must contain Higgs doublet $\phi \in SU(2)_{\mathbb{C}} \subset S^4_{\mathbb{R}} \cong SO(5)/SO(4)$



$$\Sigma_{IJ} = \langle \bar{\Psi}_I \Psi_J \rangle = \xi^\top \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0_{4 \times 4} & 0 \\ 0 & \varsigma \end{pmatrix} + \eta_i \tilde{T}_i \end{bmatrix} \xi \xrightarrow{\text{SO}(5) \to \text{SO}(4)}_{\text{low energy}} \begin{pmatrix} 0_{4 \times 4} & 0 \\ 0 & \varsigma \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{ breaking}$$

 Σ_{IJ} is a condensate of the SO(5)-inn.sym. fundamental fields Ψ ; ξ is NB-bosons, η is "radial" fluctuations, ς is background field Motivation and model

Effective field theory

$$\mathcal{Z}[J] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-S - J \cdot \phi} =: e^{W[J]}$$

$$\Gamma[\langle \phi \rangle] = W[J] - \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J} \cdot J = \int_{X} d^{d}x \Big(\underbrace{\kappa_{\mathsf{eff}}[\partial \langle \phi \rangle]}_{=0 \text{ if } \langle \phi \rangle = \mathsf{const}} + V_{\mathsf{eff}}[\langle \phi \rangle] \Big) \quad - \text{ effective action}$$

Effective potential:
$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_4}\Gamma$$

Equation of motion (EoM):
$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\langle\phi\rangle} = J \frac{\langle\phi\rangle = \text{const}}{\delta\langle\phi\rangle} \frac{\delta V_{\text{eff}}}{\delta\phi\rangle} = 0$$
 gives extrema condition



AdS/CFT

In a narrow sense: non-perturbative method for correlators calculations; In general: conformal field theory $\mathcal{Z}_{CFT} \sim$ field theory in AdS \mathcal{Z}_{AdS}

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z}[J] & \underbrace{\frac{\text{AdS/CFT}}{\text{correspondence}}}_{\text{correspondence}} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{AdS}} & \underbrace{\frac{\text{quasiclassical}}{\text{approximation}}}_{\text{approximation}} e^{-S_{\text{AdS}}} |_{\partial \text{AdS}} - \underbrace{\frac{\text{quasiclassical}}{\text{non-perturbative}}}_{\text{gives } \lambda_{\text{AdS}} \ll 1 \text{ weakly coupled field theory}} \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{CFT}}[J] = \int \mathcal{D}[\text{*fields*}] \, \exp(-S - \mathcal{O} \cdot J) \quad \frac{\mathsf{AdS}/\mathsf{CFT}}{\mathsf{correspondence}} \, \left. \mathcal{Z}_{\mathsf{AdS}} \right|_{\partial \mathsf{AdS}}$

Fields of CFT are unknown, action is unknown, but we know something (symmetries) about the sources J and the operators O

$$\mathcal{O} \in \mathbb{C}[\phi, \partial \phi, \partial^2 \phi, \ldots]$$

AdS/CFT

$$pprox rac{\pi^2}{2}/14$$

Holographic correlators

$$\frac{\delta S_{AdS}}{\delta \phi} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ solution of the equation of motion: } \psi(x, z) \xrightarrow{z \to 0}_{\partial AdS} z^{d-\Delta} \psi_0(x) + z^{\Delta} \psi_1(x)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{conformal boundary}}_{\partial AdS} \xrightarrow{t x}_{d \to 0} \xrightarrow{\text{BH horizon}} T \sim \frac{1}{z_0} \equiv \text{gravity at the horizon}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}[J] = \int \mathcal{D}[\dots]e^{-S-\mathcal{O}\cdot J} \xrightarrow{\text{AdS/CFT}} \exp(-S_{AdS}[\psi]|_{z=0}), \quad J \xrightarrow{\text{AdS/CFT}} \psi_0(x), \quad \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{AdS/CFT}} \psi_1(x)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{EoM solutions}} \xrightarrow{\partial AdS} \xrightarrow{\text{asymptotic}} \text{boundary part } S_{\partial AdS} \xrightarrow{\text{quasiclassical}}_{approach} \quad \text{CFT generating function}$$

$$G_n = \langle \mathcal{O} \dots \mathcal{O} \rangle = \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right)^n \log \mathcal{Z}[J]\Big|_{J=0} = -\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \psi_0}\right)^n S_{AdS}[\psi] = -\left(\frac{\delta^n S_{AdS}^{\text{bulk}}}{\delta \psi_0^n}\right) - \left(\frac{\delta^n S_{\partial AdS}^{\text{border}}}{\delta \psi_0^n}\right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{AdS/CFT}} \xrightarrow{\text{AdS/CFT}} \psi_0(x) \xrightarrow{\text{AdS/CFT}} \psi_0(x) \xrightarrow{\text{AdS/CFT}} \psi_0(x) \xrightarrow{\text{AdS/CFT}} \psi_0(x)$$

Holographic potential

Extrema condition: $V_{\text{eff}}|_{\text{extrema}} = V_{\text{eff}}|_{J=0} \Leftrightarrow G_0$; AdS/CFT: $G_0 \Leftarrow$ boundary term of dual theory $S_{\partial \text{AdS}}$

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{X} V_{\text{eff}} \big|_{\text{extrema}} = G_0 = W[J=0] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{\mathsf{AdS/CFT}}} S_{\text{AdS}} \big|_{\partial \text{AdS}}^{\psi_0=0}$$

Extrema condition & duality: $J = \psi_0 = 0$; duality: $\langle \phi \rangle = \psi_1$ $\frac{\delta V_{\text{eff}}}{\delta \langle \phi \rangle} \xrightarrow{\text{AdS/CFT}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \psi_1} \left(S[\psi] \Big|_{\partial \text{AdS}} \right) \Big|_{\psi_0 = 0} = 0 \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{with assumption} \\ \langle \phi \rangle = \text{const} \end{pmatrix}$ gives vacuum expectation values: $\{ \langle \phi \rangle_{\min 1}, \langle \phi \rangle_{\min 2}, \dots \}$ — possible vacuums

Extrema positions and values $\left\{ \left(\langle \phi \rangle_{\min i}, V_{\text{eff}}[\langle \phi \rangle_{\min i}] \right) \right\} \Rightarrow$ phase transitions

AdS/CFT

Phase transition

Solution



$$\frac{\partial V_{\rm eff}}{\partial \langle \varphi \rangle}\Big|_{\langle \varphi \rangle_0} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\langle \varphi \rangle_0, V_{\rm eff} \big|_{\langle \varphi \rangle_0} \right)$$

Effective potential extremal values and the positions allow one to judge about PT:

- ► trivial minimum (vacuum) only ⇒ there is no PT;
- non-trivial true vacuum with the potential barrier \Rightarrow 1-st PT;
- non-trivial true vacuum without a potential barrier ⇒ there is no PT.

The extrema of the effective quantum potential $V_{\rm eff}$: T is the plasma temperature, $\langle \varphi \rangle$ is the vacuum expectation.

Unscaled schematic illustration! Data in real scale are at the backup slides.

Temperature estimations

Experimental restrictions \Leftrightarrow mass of the **lightest predicted** particle.

$$\Sigma_{IJ} = \xi^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0_{4 \times 4} & 0 \\ 0 & \varsigma \end{pmatrix} + \eta_i \tilde{T}_i \end{bmatrix} \xi \quad \frac{\text{AdS/CFT}}{\text{dual to}} \quad X_{IJ} \to \begin{pmatrix} 0_{4 \times 4} & 0 \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix}$$

 $m_\eta \sim m_{\delta\chi}$ fluctuation mass \sim slope of the "hat".

$$\chi(z) \to \chi(z) + \delta \chi(t, \vec{x}, z) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{EoM}_{z}[\chi] \to \mathrm{EoM}_{t, \vec{x}, z}[\chi + \delta \chi] \qquad \underbrace{\partial \mathrm{AdS}}_{2m^{2} = \phi_{2}}$$

$$T = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{z_{\mathsf{H}}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad T = \frac{m}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\phi_2}}, \quad z_{\mathsf{H}}^2 = \frac{\phi_2}{2m^2}$$



Bubble free energy



Bubble nucleation

Gravitational Waves

The spectrum of the gravitational waves can be estimated as (within the approach of **relativistic** velocity of the bubble walls $v_w \sim 1$)

$$\Omega_{\rm GW} h^2 = 1.67 \cdot 10^{-5} \kappa \Delta \left(\frac{\beta}{H_*}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^2 \left(\frac{g_*}{100}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}$$

Only scalar waves! Sound waves and turbulence are not included! We **estimate** only scalar waves produced during initial collisions.

$$f_0 = 1.65 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{Hz} \cdot rac{f_*}{eta} rac{eta}{H_*} rac{eta}{0.1 ext{TeV}} \left(rac{m{g}_*}{100}
ight)^{rac{1}{6}} ext{ Hz}$$

 $(\Omega_{GW}h^2, f_0)$ -curve is the estimation GW amplitude (peak value). It does not contain the spectral shape $S(f_0)$ (in this case $S(f_0 = f_0^{\text{peak}}) = 1$).

 $(41/11) / \sim S_4^{\text{permut.}}$

Bubble nucleation

Observations



Bubble nucleation

December/14

Observations (without legend)



Bubble nucleation

(-1mod14)/14

Conclusion



Holographic model

 \mathcal{L}_{CH} – strongly coupled \Rightarrow consider $N \gg 1$ \Rightarrow $\mathcal{Z}_{CH}[J] = \mathcal{Z}_{AdS}[J]$ The dual theory: $\mathcal{Z}_{AdS}[J] \sim \exp\left(-S_{AdS}[J]\right)$ is weakly coupled \Rightarrow quasiclassical limit

The asymptotic behavior near the conformal border ∂AdS of the dual theory fields **defines the sources** of the CH operators (i.e. the **correlator functions**)

$$X_{IJ} \xrightarrow{z \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi} J_{IJ} z + \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N}} \Sigma_{IJ} z^3 + \dots \quad X_{IJ} : \mathsf{AdS}_5 \stackrel{\text{dual}}{\iff} \Sigma_{IJ} : \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$$

Holography is the **duality** between **strongly coupled** theory on the border and **weakly coupled** (quasiclassical) bulk theory.

 $F = -T \log \mathcal{Z}_{CH} \sim TS_{AdS} \propto Vol_4 \cdot \mathcal{F}$ In homogeneous case ($\chi = \chi(z)$): $\mathcal{F} \propto V_{eff}[\chi]$

Action of the holographic model

$$S_{\text{tot}} = S_{\text{grav}+\phi} + S_{\text{X}} + S_{\text{A}} + S_{\text{SM}} + S, \quad S_{\text{A}} = -\frac{1}{g_{5}^{2}} \int d^{5}x \sqrt{|g|} e^{\phi} g^{ac} g^{bd} F_{ab} F_{cd}$$

$$S_{\text{grav}+\phi} = \frac{1}{l_{\rho}^{3}} \int d^{5}x \sqrt{|g|} e^{2\phi} \Big[-R + 2|\Lambda| - 4g^{ab} \partial_{a}\phi \partial_{b}\phi - V_{\phi}(\phi) \Big], \quad a, b = 0, \dots 4$$

$$S_{\text{int}} = \epsilon^{4} \int_{z=\epsilon} d^{4}x \sqrt{|g^{(4)}|} \Big[c_{Y}B_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr} \left(T_{Y}A^{\mu} \right) + c_{W}W_{k,\mu} \operatorname{Tr} \left(T_{k}A^{\mu} \right) + \mathcal{L}_{\psi} \Big]$$

$$S_{\text{X}} = \frac{1}{k_{s}} \int d^{5}x \sqrt{|g|} e^{\phi} \Big[\frac{1}{2} g^{ab} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\nabla_{a}X^{T} \nabla_{b}X \right) - V_{X}(X) \Big], \quad \nabla_{a}X = \partial_{a}X + [A_{a}, X], \quad A_{a} = 0$$

$$V_{X}(X) = \operatorname{Tr} \Big(-\frac{3}{2L^{2}}X^{T}X - \frac{\alpha}{4}(X^{T}X)^{2} + L^{2}\frac{\beta}{6}(X^{T}X)^{3} + O(X^{8}) \Big)$$

$$L \cdot X_{IJ} \sim \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi} J_{IJ}\tilde{z} + \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N}} \Sigma_{IJ}\tilde{z}^{3} + \dots$$

$$S_{\text{grav}+\phi} = \frac{1}{l_{\rho}^3} \int d^5 x \sqrt{|g|} e^{2\phi} \Big[-R + 2|\Lambda| - 4g^{ab} \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi - V_{\phi}(\phi) \Big], \quad a, b = 0, \dots 4$$

$$ds^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{\tilde{z}^{2}}A(\tilde{z})^{2}\left(f(\tilde{z})d\tau^{2} + \frac{d\tilde{z}^{2}}{f(\tilde{z})} + d\vec{x}^{2}\right), \quad \phi = \phi(\tilde{z})$$
$$f = 1 - \frac{\tilde{z}^{4}}{z_{H}^{4}}, \quad \phi = \tilde{\phi}_{2}\tilde{z}^{2}, \quad z_{H} = \frac{1}{\pi T}.$$

"Extrema" curves

$$\frac{\delta S_{\chi}}{\delta \chi} = 0 \Rightarrow \chi \xrightarrow{z \to 0} Jz + \left(\sigma - \left(\frac{3}{2}J^3 + \phi_2 J\right)\log z\right)z^3 + o(z^5) - \frac{\text{give the sources}}{\text{for CFT operators}}$$

Knowing the *extrema* of the effective potential and its *values* at these points, we can judge abut the phase transition

$$V_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{\text{Vol}_4} S_{\chi} \Big|_{\partial \text{AdS}} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{from EoM} \\ \text{for effective} : \text{Vol}_4 \frac{\delta V_{\text{eff}}}{\delta \langle \varphi \rangle} = J \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{extrema condition is} \\ \text{absence of sources} \end{array} \Rightarrow J = 0 \\ \\ \overbrace{\chi \xrightarrow{z \to 0} \sigma z^3 + o(z^5)}^{\text{extreme" solutions}} \\ \overbrace{\chi \xrightarrow{z \to 0} \sigma z^3 + o(z^5)}^{\text{extreme" solutions}} \\ \text{must give} \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{\delta V_{\text{eff}}}^{\text{eff}} = 0 \end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{a new condition} \\ \text{for } \phi_2 \text{ and } \langle \varphi \rangle \end{array} \\ \\ T \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi_2}}, \quad \frac{\delta V_{\text{eff}}}{\delta \langle \varphi \rangle} = 0 = \frac{\delta}{\delta \sigma} S_{\chi} [\chi_{\text{Sol.}}(z; J, \sigma)] \Big|_{J=0} \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\} - \text{extrema} \\ \\ \sigma \text{ is (source) dual to } \langle \varphi \rangle, \text{ vacuum average of the effective theory} \end{array}$$

Nucleation ratio

The next step is to consider Baryogenesis generates enough asymmetry (enough efficient) if there is one bubble per Hubble volume $\underbrace{\text{Nucleation:}}_{\text{Ratio}} AT^4 e^{-\frac{F_c}{T}} \sim \underbrace{H^4(T) = \left(\frac{T^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}}\right)^4 - \underbrace{\text{Expansion of}}_{\text{the Univerce}}}_{1/(\text{Hubble time × volume})}$

 $F = F[\langle \varphi \rangle, R]$ – Free energy of the bubble; R is the radius of the bubble

Hubble horizon (time, volume, radius) — speed of receding object behind it is greater than the speed of light (Don't confuse with cosmological horizon)

Bubble appears with a certain size. It defines with "micro-physics". If its radius is grater, then critical one $\frac{\partial F}{\partial R}\Big|_{R_c} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=R}$, the bubble grow. Otherwise, it bursts.

It gives $F_{C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(R_{C})$ and defines nucleation ratio and "viability of the model".

Estimations of the nucleation ratio



Free energy density



The free energy density of the non-trivial solution (blue line) crosses the free energy density of the trivial solution (green field) at the point where 1-st PT becomes possible.

 \mathcal{F}_{v} is free energy density of the CM model, σ is the source for vacuum expectation value $\langle \varphi \rangle \sim \sigma$, ϕ_{2} is the temperature parameter $T \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi_{2}}}$.

Effective potential isn't "Tuned"

NO, it's just ill-defined

$$V_{\chi} = a_2 \chi^2 + a_4 \chi^4 + a_6 \chi^6, \quad a_2 < 0, \ a_4 < 0, \ a_6 > 0$$
 no barrier
 $V_{\text{eff}} = b_2 \langle \varphi \rangle^2 + b_4 \langle \varphi \rangle^4 + b_6 \langle \varphi \rangle^6, \quad b_2 > 0, \ b_4 < 0, \ b_6 > 0$ there's a barrier

in details:

- $V_{\text{eff}} = V_{\text{eff}}[\langle \varphi \rangle]$ describes a quantum objects at the border. V_{χ} is a dual classical potential in the bulk.
- ► $V_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{\text{Vol}_4} S_{\text{AdS}} \Big|_{\partial \text{AdS}}$ includes the solutions of the EoM $\frac{\delta S_{\chi}}{\delta \chi = 0}$ in bulk. In other words, V_{eff} includes physics of AdS

"Symmetries" of the dual theory potential

$$V_{\chi}(\chi) = \frac{m^2}{2}\chi^2 - \frac{D}{4L^2}\lambda\chi^4 + \frac{\lambda^2\gamma}{6L^2}\chi^6$$
 is the expantion of a more general theory

Suggestions:

- The potential V_{χ} always has true vacuum with E_{\min} ($V_{\chi} \xrightarrow{\chi \to \pm \infty} \infty$). So we may use any even power χ^n instead of the last term χ^6 .
- The expansion of V_χ has certain sign of the second term λ > 0 (the first one m² chosen for the theory to be conformal in AdS).
- Higher orders of the expansion don't give new minima at the considered temperatures.

The certain parametrization has been chosen with respect to the "symmetries"

"Scale invariace", defining the coefficents $L \rightarrow L'$; Conformality near the AdS border ("correct" conformal weights): $\Delta_{-} = 1$ $\Delta_{+} = 3 \Rightarrow m^{2} = -\frac{D}{3L^{2}}$ D is for the Large D limit. But its usage doesn't give any results. (to keep interaction constants finite at $D \rightarrow \infty$)

Extrema curve on natural scale

"Extrema" curve of the effective potential V_{eff} in real scale with the 1st order PT "temperature" range (left picture).



The approximation of the $V_{\text{eff}} = a_0 + a_2\sigma^2 + a_4\sigma^4 + a_6\sigma^6$ with the points $(\sigma_{\text{max}}, V_{\text{max}}(\sigma_{\text{max}}))$ and $(\sigma_{\min}, V_{\min}(\sigma_{\min}))$ (right picture).

Analytical and numerical solution

The "extrema" curves defines the positions $\sigma \sim \langle \varphi \rangle$ of the effective potential extrema as functions of the parameter γ and temperature ϕ_2 ($T \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi_2}}$)



The dotted lines are the numerical solutions.

The dashed lines are the perturbation solution with expantion by λ coupling constant.

SM - CH model interactions

$$F \stackrel{\text{thin walls}}{=} 4\pi R^2 \mu - \frac{3\pi}{4} R^3 \left(\mathcal{F}_{\text{out}} - \mathcal{F}_{\text{in}} \right) - \text{physical units are required}$$

- Fix the Parameters (Interaction with Standard Model bulk gauge fields)
- Physical Units (Infrared Regularization and finite temperature "radial" heavy fluctuations)

$$W^{lpha}_{\mu}J^{lpha\,\mu}_{L}+B_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{Y} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad J^{\mu}\sim A^{M}- ext{bulk}\,\mathcal{G} ext{ gauge field}$$

The physical values can be estimated without gauge field:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{IJ} &= \langle \bar{\Psi}_I \Psi_J \rangle = \xi^\top \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0_{4 \times 4} & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix} + \eta_i \tilde{T}_i \right] \xi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{T} \propto \sqrt{\phi_2} \sim \mu_{\mathrm{IR}} \sim m_{\eta} \gtrsim 10 \ \mathrm{TeV} \\ m_\eta & \Leftarrow \quad X \to X + \delta X - \mathrm{correction} \ \mathrm{of} \ \mathrm{the} \ \mathrm{background} \ \mathrm{field} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta - \mathrm{pNG} \ \mathrm{boson} \end{split}$$

CH gauge field

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \mathcal{L}_{CH} + \mathcal{B}_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr} \left(T_{Y} \hat{J}^{\mu} \right) + \mathcal{W}_{k,\mu} \operatorname{Tr} \left(T_{k} \hat{J}^{\mu} \right) + \sum_{r} \bar{\psi}_{r} \mathcal{O}_{r} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_{\text{interactions}}$$

$$SO(5) \times U(1) : \mathcal{A}_{M} = \mathcal{A}_{M}^{K} T^{K} + \mathcal{A}_{M,Y} T_{Y}$$

$$SO(5) \rightarrow SO(4) : \qquad \mathcal{A}_{M}^{K} T^{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{M}^{a} T^{a} + \mathcal{A}_{M}^{i} T^{i}$$

$$SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2) : \qquad \mathcal{A}_{M}^{K} T^{K} = \mathcal{A}_{M}^{k,L} T_{L}^{k} + \mathcal{A}_{M}^{k,R} T_{R}^{k}$$

$$SO(4) \cong SU(2) \times SU(2) : \qquad \mathcal{A}_{M}^{K} T^{K} = \mathcal{A}_{M}^{k,L} T_{L}^{k} + \mathcal{A}_{M}^{k,R} T_{R}^{k}$$

$$SO(5) \rightarrow SO(4) = \mathcal{A}_{\mu}(t, x, z) \Big|_{z=0}^{\partial AdS}, \qquad \text{holographic gauge: } \mathcal{A}_{Z} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{O} \stackrel{\text{dual}}{\Longrightarrow} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{A}, \Psi, \phi, \ldots) - \text{composite operators of the CH fields}$$