Stochastic Relaxation of the Electroweak Scale

Aleksandr Chatrchyan

(aleksandr.chatrchyan@desy.de)

DESY (Hamburg) → Nordita (Stockholm)

Based on 2210.01148, 2211.15694 in collaboration with Géraldine Servant



International Conference on Particle Physics and Cosmology

October 02-07, 2023, Yerevan, Armenia

CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE QUANTUM UNIVERSE

Outline of this talk

- Review of the relaxion mechanism
- Role of quantum **fluctuations** during relaxation
 - Stochastic dynamics
 - Can the relaxion explain **dark matter**?
 - Can the relaxion be a **QCD axion**?

- Review of the relaxion mechanism
- Role of quantum **fluctuations** during relaxation
 - Stochastic dynamics
 - Can the relaxion explain dark matter?
 - Can the relaxion be a **QCD axion**?

Scalar fields in cosmology

Wide range of applications

• Strong CP problem, dark matter, dark energy, inflation, ...

Well-motivated example: axion (dynamical solution to the strong CP problem)

• The standard model Lagrangian allows for a term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\Theta}} = -\bar{\Theta} \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} F_{a\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_a^{\mu\nu}$$

- Experiments constraint $\overline{\theta} < 10^{-10}$, (no CP violation in the strong sector)
- The axion field φ couples to the QCD anomalous term

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\varphi}{f} \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} F_{a\mu\nu} \widetilde{F}_a^{\mu\nu}$$

• The effective low-energy potential is minimized at the CP conserving value arphi=0. Vafa, Witten, Nucl.Phys. B234 (1984)

$$U(\varphi) = \Lambda_b^4 [1 - \cos(\varphi/f)]$$

In this work I focus on the **relaxion** and the **Higgs mass hierarchy problem**.

Weinberg, PRL 40, 223.

The (GKR) relaxion mechanism

- Dynamical Higgs mass, controlled by vev of ϕ

$$\mu_h^2 \to \mu_h^2(\phi) = \Lambda^2 - g\Lambda\phi$$

• Rolling potential for ϕ

$$U(\phi) = -g\Lambda^3\phi + \Lambda_b^4(v_h)[1 - \cos(\phi/f)]$$

• Higgs-vev-dependent relaxion barriers.







The QCD and nonQCD models

The QCD relaxion model

• Higgs-dependent barriers from the QCD anomaly,

 $\Lambda_b^4(v_h) \approx \Lambda_{QCD}^3 m_u$

• Problem: the relaxion no longer solves the strong CP problem! $\bar{\theta} = \arcsin\left(\frac{g\Lambda^3 f}{\Lambda_b^4}\right) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$

The **nonQCD** relaxion model

• Higgs-dependent barriers from a hidden gauge group

Parameter region: the nonQCD model





$$\Lambda < 4 \times 10^9 \text{GeV} \left(\frac{\Lambda_b}{\sqrt{4\pi}v_h}\right)^{4/7}$$

• Review of the relaxion mechanism

• Role of quantum **fluctuations** during relaxation

- Stochastic dynamics
- Can the relaxion explain dark matter?
- Can the relaxion be a QCD axion?

The stochastic formalism

- The relaxion vev receives random kicks from superhorizon fluctuations
- The dynamics can be described in terms of a Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \boxed{\frac{1}{3H_I} \frac{\partial(\rho \ \partial_{\phi} U)}{\partial \phi}} + \boxed{\frac{H_I^3}{8\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial \phi^2}}_{\text{Diffusion term}}$$

$$\rho(\phi, t) \text{ - probability distribution} \qquad \text{Drift term} \qquad \text{Diffusion term}$$
e.g. 9407016
Diffusion introduces new effects, such as
• the *broadening* of the distribution, $\sigma(t) = \sqrt{\frac{H_I^3}{4\pi^2}t} \qquad \text{Nelson et. al., 1708.00010}$
• *probability fluxes* between neighboring local minima,

٠

Illustration of the dynamics & stopping



- Review of the relaxion mechanism
- Role of quantum **fluctuations** during relaxation
 - Stochastic dynamics
 - Can the relaxion explain dark matter?
 - Can the relaxion be a **QCD axion**?

Relaxion-Higgs mixing

In most of the parameter space the relaxion is light and long-lived, interacts via its Higgs mixing





Relaxion dark matter window

In most of the parameter space the relaxion is light and long-lived, interacts via its Higgs mixing

Can the relaxion account for the dark matter? Yes, via its misalignment from the minimum

Brown: low reheating temperature, stochastic misalignment

 $\rho(\phi) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{8\pi^2 V(\phi)}{3H_I^4}\right)$

Graham et al 1805.07362 Takahashi et al 1805.08763

Grey: high reheating temperature, misalignment from rollon after reheating Banerjee et. al., 1810.01889

Black: high reheating temperature, stochastic misalignment



13



• Review of the relaxion mechanism

• Role of quantum **fluctuations** during relaxation

- Stochastic dynamics
- Can the relaxion explain dark matter?
- Can the relaxion be a **QCD axion**?

Implications for the QCD relaxion model

The local minima of the relaxion potential are at

$$0 = V'(\phi_0) = -g\Lambda^3 + \frac{\Lambda_b^4(\phi_0)}{f} \sin\left(\frac{\phi_0}{f}\right)$$

resulting in a nonvanishing θ -angle,

$$\bar{\theta} = \arcsin\left(\frac{g\Lambda^3 f}{\Lambda_b^4}\right)$$

Strong CP problem can be solved in the QbC regime if $H_I\sim\Lambda_bpprox75{
m MeV}$ and $g\Lambda^3f<10^{-10}\Lambda_b^4$



• New perspective into the relaxation mechanism when considering the effects of fluctuations i.e. the **stochastic dynamics**.

• The mechanism can address multiple problems at the same time.

• Future directions: Other applications or observational signatures?

Thanks for your attention!

Backup slides

The parameter space





The QCD model with a change of slope

Graham et. al., 1504.07551

The local minima of the relaxion potential are **not** *CP* conserving

$$0 = U'(\bar{\theta}) = -g\Lambda^3 + \frac{\Lambda_b^4}{f}\sin\bar{\theta} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \bar{\theta} = \arcsin\left(\frac{g\Lambda^3 f}{\Lambda_b^4}\right)$$

Solution: the slope of the potential drops after inflation,

$$g = \xi g_I, \quad \xi < 10^{-10},$$

to reduce CP violation

$$\bar{\theta} = \xi \bar{\theta}_I < 10^{-10}.$$





Eternal inflation and volume weighting

• The minimum number of e-folds of inflation required to relax the Higgs mass from $\mu_h \sim \Lambda$ to $\mu_h = 0$ is given by

$$N_{I} = H_{I}t_{I} > N_{req} = \frac{3H_{I}^{2}}{g^{2}\Lambda^{2}}$$
• If $N_{I} > N_{c} \sim \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3} \frac{M_{P1}^{2}}{H_{I}^{2}}$, inflation
is eternal.
0802.1067
• Eternal inflation has associated
measure problems.
QCD relaxion, $H_{I} \sim \Lambda_{b}$
 10^{-29}
 10^{-29}
 10^{-29}
 10^{-29}
 10^{-29}
 10^{-29}
 10^{-37}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 10^{-45}
 $10^{$

• Possible solution: using scale factor cut-off measure.

Nelson et. al., 1708.00010

Large field range from the clockwork mechanism

Example: 2 axions

 $-\phi_2$

 $2\pi f_2$

 $2\pi f_2/n$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi_{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi_{2})^{2} - \left(\tilde{V}_{0} + V_{0} + \mu_{h}^{2} |h|^{2} + V_{br} + ...\right)$$
$$\tilde{V}_{0} = -\Lambda^{4} \cos\left(\frac{\phi_{1}}{f_{1}} + n\frac{\phi_{2}}{f_{2}}\right),$$
$$V_{0} = -\epsilon f_{2}^{4} \cos\left(\frac{\phi_{2}}{f_{2}} + \delta_{2}\right),$$
$$\mu_{h}^{2} = M_{h}^{2} - \epsilon' f_{2}^{2} \cos\left(\frac{\phi_{2}}{f_{2}} + \delta'_{2}\right),$$
$$V_{br} = -\Lambda_{br}^{4}(h) \cos\left(\frac{\phi_{1}}{f_{1}} + \delta_{1}\right),$$

Choi et. al., 1511.00132

Low energy potential along the light direction

 $2\pi f_1$

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm eff} \ &= \ -\epsilon f_2^4 \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f_{\rm eff}} - \delta_2\right) + \left(M_h^2 - \epsilon' f_2^2 \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f_{\rm eff}} - \delta'_2\right)\right) |h|^2 \\ &- \Lambda_{\rm br}^4(h) \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f} + \delta_1\right), \end{split}$$
 where $f_{\rm eff} \ &= \ \sqrt{n^2 f_1^2 + f_2^2} \ &\equiv nf. \end{split}$

Required number of e-folds and scales of inflation



Stochastic Relaxation of the Electroweak Scale

Implications for the classical-beats-quantum regime

- The usual stopping condition $\Lambda_b^4 \approx g \Lambda^3 f$ holds.
 - Only the nonQCD model is viable
- The relaxion does not always get trapped in the first minimum



Volume-weighting

• Volume-weighted Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{1}{3H_I} \frac{\partial (P \,\partial_{\varphi} V)}{\partial \phi} + \frac{H_I^3}{8\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{4\pi}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \frac{V}{H_I} P$$

$$P(\phi, t) = e^{3(H(\phi) - H_I)t} \rho(\phi, t)$$

• Does the relaxion climb up during inflation?

No, if $N_I < N_c$

$$\phi_{\text{peak}}(t) = \dot{\phi}_{\text{SR}}t - \frac{g\Lambda^3 H_I^2 t^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \pi}$$

• The fate of "wrong" Hubble patches ($\mu_h \sim \Lambda$) after inflation The field slow-rolls down to the region with a small Higgs vev.

Gupta, 1805.09316

Axion abundance from stochastic misalignment

• If $H_{rh} > H_{osc}$, the onset of oscillations in the radiation dominated era.

$$\rho_{\phi,0} \approx \rho_{\phi,\text{osc}} \left(\frac{a_{\text{osc}}}{a_0}\right)^3 \approx \frac{m_{\phi}^2 \phi^2}{2} \left(\frac{T_0}{T_{\text{osc}}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{g_{s,0}}{g_{\text{s,osc}}}\right)$$

• If $H_{rh} < H_{osc}$, the onset of oscillations is before reheating. The fractional energy density today depends on the equation of state before reheating

$$\rho_{\phi,0} \approx \rho_{\phi,\text{osc}} \left(\frac{a_{\text{osc}}}{a_{\text{rh}}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{a_{\text{rh}}}{a_0}\right)^3 \approx \frac{m_{\phi}^2 \phi^2}{2} \left(\frac{H_{\text{rh}}}{H_{\text{osc}}}\right)^{2/(1+w)} \left(\frac{T_0}{T_{\text{rh}}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{g_{s,0}}{g_{\text{s,rh}}}\right)$$

Combining the two cases:

$$\frac{\langle \Omega_{\phi,0} \rangle}{\Omega_{\rm DM}} \approx 20 \left(\frac{\rm eV}{m_{\phi}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{H_I}{100 {\rm GeV}}\right)^4 \min\left\{1, \left(\frac{H_{\rm rh}}{H_{\rm osc}}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1-3w}{2(1+w)}}$$

The case of high reheating temperature

• The displacement after inflation

$$\ddot{\phi} + \frac{3}{2t}\dot{\phi} - g\Lambda^3 + C(T)\frac{\Lambda_b^4}{f}\sin\left(\frac{\phi}{f}\right) = 0$$

Where for simplicity we take $C(T(t)) = \theta(T_b/T(t) - 1)$

• The total displacement of the field

 $\Delta \phi \approx \frac{g\Lambda^3}{4H_b^2}$

- The field gets re-trapped if $\Delta \phi < \phi_b \phi_0$
 - Additional constraints on the parameter region.
- DM from roll-on was studied in Banerjee et. al., 1810.01889
- DM from stochastic misalignment

$$10^{-13} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\text{TeV}}\right)^{\frac{16}{7}} \left(\frac{M_{Pl}}{f}\right)^{\frac{4}{7}} < \frac{m_{\phi}}{\text{eV}} < 6 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{g(T_b)}{100}\right) \left(\frac{T_b}{100 \text{GeV}}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\text{TeV}}{\Lambda}\right)^2$$

The case of the relaxion

In which local minimum does the relaxion end up?

$$B = \frac{8\pi^2 \Delta V_b^{\rightarrow}}{3H_I^4} \sim 1$$

Stopping condition

The barriers disappear at $T > T_b$ (T_b is at most the weak scale)

• Additional displacement for $T_{rh} \gg T_b$



Bounds on isocurvature fluctuations:

$$\frac{H_I}{\text{GeV}} < 0.3 \times 10^7 \frac{\phi}{10^{11} \text{GeV}} \left(\frac{\Omega_{DM}}{\Omega_{\phi,0}}\right)$$

Relaxion DM window, $T_{rh} < T_b$



The classical beats quantum (CbQ) regime $H_I^3 < g\Lambda^3$ The relaxion is always under-abundant

Relaxion DM window, $T_{rh} < T_b$

The classical beats quantum (CbQ) regime $H_I^3 < g\Lambda^3$ The relaxion is always under-abundant

The quantum beats classical (QbC) regime $H_I^3 > g \Lambda^3$

The lower bounds is to avoid eternal inflation $2\pi^2 M^2$.

$$f N_{\min} > N_c = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \frac{M_{Pl}}{H_l^2}$$



$$\boxed{10^{-13} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\text{TeV}}\right)^{\frac{16}{7}} \left(\frac{M_{Pl}}{f}\right)^{\frac{4}{7}} < \frac{m_{\phi}}{\text{eV}} < 0.4 \times 10^{4w} \left(\frac{H_I}{100 \text{GeV}}\right)^{2(1+w)} \left[\frac{T_{\text{rh}}}{100 \text{GeV}} \left(\frac{g(T_{\text{rh}})}{100}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right]^{\frac{1-3w}{2}}}$$

Relaxion DM window (nonQCD model)



Brown: low reheating temperature, stochastic misalignment

Grey: high reheating temperature, misalignment from roll-on after reheating

Banerjee et. al., 1810.01889

Black: high reheating temperature, stochastic misalignment

The stopping condition

• The barriers of the relaxion potential are suppressed due to the rolling term

$$\phi_b - \phi_0 = 2f \times \delta$$

, as well as $\cos \delta = rac{g \Lambda^3 f}{\Lambda^4}$ From V' = 0 one finds that $m_{\phi}^2 = \frac{\Lambda_b^4}{f^2} \times \sin \delta$ $\Delta V_{b}^{\rightarrow} = 2\Lambda_{b}^{4} \times [\sin \delta - \delta \cos \delta]$ $d = \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \frac{H_I^4}{a\Lambda^3 f}$ The stopping condition: • $d \ll 1 \rightarrow B \sim 1$ already for $\delta \frac{16\pi^2 \Lambda_b^4}{\Im H^4} (\sin \delta - \Lambda_b^4 \cos \delta) \Lambda^3 f \frac{2}{d} [\tan(\delta) - \delta] \sim 1$ $\Lambda_b^4 \sim \max\left(g\Lambda^3 f, H_I^4\right).$ • $d \gg 1 \rightarrow B \sim 1$ only for $\delta \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \rightarrow \Lambda_b^4 \approx 3H_I^4/16\pi^2$

Density-triggered phase transitions in stars

The basic idea

- Large baryon density suppresses the higgs vev/barrier height
- If the minimum disappears and the star is large enough, an expanding bubble can form.



9407016 1708.00010

Exact solution in the $v_h = 0$ region,

$$\rho(\phi, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2(t)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\phi - \dot{\phi}_{SR}t)^2}{2\sigma^2(t)}\right\},$$

with

$$\dot{\phi}_{SR} = \frac{g\Lambda^3}{3H_I} \qquad \sigma(t) = \sqrt{\frac{H_I^3}{4\pi^2}t}$$





$$\frac{dN_1}{dt} = -k_{12} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} N_1$$

$$\frac{dN_1}{dt} = -k_{12} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} N_1$$

$$k \approx \frac{\sqrt{V_0''|V_b''|}}{6\pi H_I} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2 \Delta V_b}{3H_1^4}} \xrightarrow{\text{Hawking-Moss instanton}}$$

$$PLB \ 110 \ (1982) \ 35.$$





Diffusion introduces to new effects, such as

• probability fluxes between neighboring local minima,

$$k \approx \frac{\sqrt{U_0''|U_b''|}}{6\pi H_I} e^{-B}, \qquad B = \frac{8\pi^2 \Delta U_b}{3H_I^4} \qquad \qquad \text{Hawking-Moss}$$
instanton
PLB 110 (1982) 35.

Dynamics in the region with local minima,

$$\frac{dn_{0,i}}{dt} = -k_{\to}n_{0,i} - k_{\leftarrow}n_{0,i} + k_{\leftarrow}n_{0,i+1} + k_{\to}n_{0,i-1}$$

Mean velocity of the field

$$\langle \dot{\phi} \rangle = \int \rho(\phi) \dot{\phi} d\phi \approx \sum_{i} \dot{n}_{0,i} \phi_{0,i} = 2\pi f(k_{\rightarrow} - k_{\leftarrow})$$

Summary

• New parameter space for the relaxion when considering quantum fluctuations.

• The relaxion in this regime can reproduce the observed dark matter relic abundance.

	With eternal inflation	No eternal inflation	No eternal inflation + dark matter
Classical beats quantum (GKR)	-	$\Lambda < 10^9 { m GeV}$	-
Quantum beats classical	$\Lambda < 10^{11} { m GeV}$	$\Lambda < 10^9 { m GeV}$	$\Lambda < 10^{6} { m GeV}$

Different approaches to the QCD relaxion

